

Chris Key

MAR 2 2 2013

Tulsa, OK 74133

· RE:

MUR 6542

Superior Wood Floors, Inc.

Dear Mr. Key:

On March 30, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified you, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, by Superior Wood Floors, Inc. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to you at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and information supplied by you, on March 12, 2013, the Commission found no reason to believe that Superior Wood Floors, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b by making a prohibited corporate contribution to Mullin for Congress. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclusure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 1ft, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Dominique Dillenseger, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Peter G. Blumberg

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
2 3 4	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
5	RESPONDENT: Superior Wood Floors, Inc. MUR 6542	
6 7	I. INTRODUCTION	
8	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission b	y
9	Morgan Anderssen-Williams, alleging a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 197	1,
10	as amended ("the Act"), by Superior Wood Floors, Inc.	
11	II. FACTUAŁ AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
12	The Complaint alleges that Superior Wood Floors, Inc. violated the Federal Election	
13	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") by making a prohibited a corporate contribution	n
14	to Mullin for Congress and Debbie Dooley in her official capacity as treasurer ("Committee").	
15	Compl. (Mar. 19, 2012); see 2 U.S.C. § 441b.	
16	Corporations are prohibited from making contributions in connection with a federal	
17	election, and candidates are prohibited from knowingly accepting or receiving corporate	
18	contributions. See 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b)(1). Contributions that present	
19	genuine questions as to whether they were made by a prohibited source, such as a corporation,	
20	may be either deposited or returned to the contributor within ten days. 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(1).	,
21	If the contribution is deposited, the treasurer must make his or her best efforts to determine the	
22	legality of the contribution. Id. If the contribution cannot be determined to be legal, the	
23	treasurer must refund the contribution within 30 days of receipt. Id.	
24	Superior Wood Floors acknowledges having made the contribution. See Superior	
25	Woods, Inc. Resp. (May 23, 2012). The Committee admits, and its disclosure reports show, that	at
26	the Committee received a contribution from Superior Wood Floors, Inc. The Committee asserts	5,

MUR 6542 (Superior Wood Floors, Inc.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

- 1 and its reports reflect, however, that this impermissible corporate contribution was timely
- 2 refunded. See Mullin Resp. at 5-7. The Committee's 2011 July Quarterly Report shows a receipt
- of \$1,000 from Superior Wood Floors, Inc. on June 27, 2011 and a refund in the same amount on
- 4 June 30, 2011, well within the time period for permissible refund.
- 5 Therefore, the Commission found no reason to believe that Superior Wood Floors, Inc.
- 6 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b by making a prohibited corporate contribution to Mullin for Congress.